

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,206	02/11/2004	Michael J. Hubbard	OMNO-0010-1	9963
7590 09/28/2005			EXAM	INER
David G. Burleson			ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
Chief Intellectual Property Counsel OMNOVA Solutions, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
175 Ghent Road			1771	
Fairlawn, OH 44333			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h					4			
1		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/775,2	06	HUBBARD ET AL				
0	ffice Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Daniel Zir		1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE I	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex munication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIO vent, however, may a re vill expire SIX (6) MON polication to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information [ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date (3 IDS's).		Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/775,206

Art Unit: 1771

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Claims 6,8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, in claims 6 and 13 the adhesive composition set forth is believed to be SEBS, i.e. styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene. In claim 8 "by" should be –to--. Additionally, in claims 1, 9 and elsewhere it is suggested to use –thermoplastic membrane— instead of "membrane comprised of a thermoplastic" and in the PGPub 2003/0219564A1 to the inventor the same genus of adhesives including the same most preferred composition PSA-3 of ADCO Products is described by the far more conventional genus of "hot melt pressure sensitive adhesives" instead of the term "dead load shear capable adhesive" currently utilized by applicants.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The amendment filed July 8, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: More particularly, while the Examiner has no objection to the insertion of the clearly supported term "preapplied" throughout the specification in the manner applicants have done the accompanying deletion where each of these amendments are made of the term "peel"

Art Unit: 1771

and stick" is respectfully submitted to be new matter, as the newly substituted term is clearly not synonomous with the deleted one. .

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2003/0219564 A1 to Hubbard.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. Additionally, as to the merits of the rejection note the Abstract and Figures, and Paragraphs 0002, 0005, 0009, 0015, 0016, 0018, 0019, 0020 (which discloses applicants' most preferred adhesive as also being the most preferred in the reference genus of thermoplastic articles), 0022-0024, claims 1,2 and 10.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,206 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2,3, and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbard, already of record. The reference is again relied upon as set forth above, and with respect to such further limitations as the clearly nominal method claims 9 and 18 note, e.g. paragraph 0023 as at least putting within the grasp of one of ordinary skill the claimed processes which require little more than applying the thermoplastic membrane coated with the preferred adhesive to a desired, i.e. building substrate.

 Additionally, with respect to the "flashing" claims note as evidence of the state of the art Futamura, US 4,778,852, particularly the Abstract and Col 11, lines 30-49 and line 67-Col 12, line 5 for the teaching that whether or not an adhesive coated membrane is either, e.g. a sheeting or a "flashing" is totally dependent upon what desires these two essentially equivalent structures to function as. Other parameters that are not either expressly or inherently disclosed are each believed to be obvious modifications to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is 571-272-1486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Daniel Zukin